PHYLOSOPHY OF MANAGEMENT:
EDUCATIONAL MEANINGS AND SOCIAL CHALLENGES
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Abstract. Aim. Disclosure of the theoretical and spiritual-practical potential of the philosophy of management in training specialists in the field of public management and administration. Methodology. In the research process there were used such methods as: analysis, synthesis, systemic, comparative, structural-functional and dialectical. The application of the synergistic approach to study management made it possible to consider the latter as a complex and open system. Results. The authors have proved that today the philosophy of management is a theoretical and methodological basis for modern managers’ training. The emphasis is also put on the fact that the philosophy of management is different from the theory of management, since traditional management tries to implement the training program for a single manager, who should independently manage large teams and organizations. The authors are convinced that this statement is utopian nowadays. That is why the philosophy of management should appear as the methodological basis in the new paradigm of training managers of any level. Scientific novelty. For the first time in the scientific discourse, the attempt has been made to establish the status of the philosophy of management as one of the basic and obligatory disciplines in specialists’ training for public and state administration and management. It is proved that the management of any systems becomes effective due to the interaction of three levels, namely: ideological, scientific-methodological and praxeological. The necessity of preparing managers as team members that adequately respond to current social challenges is identified as the main task of modern managerial education. The Practical Value. The research results should set the benchmarks for improving and creating a new system of modern managers’ training, where the manager is not considered as a single leader (individual), but as a member of the team in which everyone is capital.
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Introduction
Current social practices require including the management phenomenon into the subject
of philosophy, as management permeates all levels and spheres of social life and, therefore, creates a kind of unity where the individual is established as the subject and the surrounding world becomes the sphere of his or her conscious activity. It is the philosophical reflection of essence, meaning and values of management that appears to be the general theory and methodology of human managerial activity. The urgency of the philosophy of management is also determined by the fact that a number of disciplines are developing nowadays and management turns out to be the object of their study, for example, the management theory, sociology and management logics, management psychology, etc. But there are a lot of issues that still remain unresolved, such as, the problem of essence and functions of management, generalization of different approaches to management study, the issue of choice and justification of the most optimal methods of managerial activity and others. Therefore, philosophy, as a fundamental branch of knowledge, is designed to offer solutions to these issues of management.

**Analysis of recent studies.** Managing appears to be a kind of human activity the purpose of which is to transform an object according to the subject’s vision of its development. In this sense, governance has existed since the human society was formed and it remains relevant today.

The new paradigm is being formed and established in the modern educational system of managers’ training – that is the team system of management. The methodological principles of this paradigm were substantiated in the works of K. Matsushita and P. Drucker. The ideas of the new management model in the modern society were proposed by I. Adizes and F. Fukuyama. Lee Yacocca also suggested understanding management as an art and skill. He claimed every employee’s involvement and interest in the teamwork is the determining factor in this process.

The purpose of the article is to reveal the essence as well as ideological, methodological and praxeological potential of the philosophy of management for training specialists in the field of managerial activity.

The methodology of this research involves complex analysis of management from the philosophical reflection standpoint which made it possible to conclude that the educational system of specialists-managers’ training need to be reoriented. Management as a phenomenon of organization and direction of the society’s development is derived from the management theory. Management is a purposeful human activity aimed at creating optimal functioning and development of the society, state, corporation, organization, family etc. It constantly requires constructive and creative thinking rather than using ready-made, stereotyped approaches to solving specific problems in appropriate conditions.
Summary of the research basic results. The phenomenon of management is an integral part of human existence, without which it is impossible to organize the people’s coexistence, and society is a complex, self-organized system, where every element has knowledge, skills and abilities as well as personal desires, needs and interests. From this point of view, the essence of management is to find the unity and to direct the individual actions. In relation to that, A. Whitehead, a classic of the management theory, said, ‘The social life is the problem of individual actions and the limits of their unity’ [6, p. 417]. Thus, the development of the methodology for effective governance is an extremely important and complex task that we believe can be solved by philosophy. “The new concept of management aimed at sustainable development forms a new type of sustainable development economy based on the intellectual and creative component” [11, p.236].

Moreover, the world is intensely developing due to deployment of post-globalization processes. And establishing a new paradigm of education for managers is urgent for development of the world in general, for development of separate states, societies and the individual in particular. According to the well-known modern sociologist F. Fukuyama, incompetence in management leads to serious consequences. “… incompetent or non-existent governments are becoming the source of serious problems, especially in the developing world < > these problems are generated by the management weakness, as the main aspect of security” [7, p.6 – 8]. As F. Fukuyama states, it is quite actual nowadays that “the reason of the state weakness is also the fact that the science of public administration can not exist, despite the economists’ recent efforts to create it [7, p.9]. It should be noted that the development of the state and society is not limited by the development of economy. Therefore, governance goes beyond the theory of management, and now the new paradigm of managers’ training is being established according to which managers should not be regarded as flawless and ideal. Getting aware of that fact stimulates the transition from the tasks which can not be solved in management schools to the reorientation in the learning system – from an individual to the team created by the manager thanks to his or her skills, and afterwards that team effectively works and solves the tasks he gives it.

Thus, the new paradigm of specialists-managers training must use innovative achievements and creative and non-standard approaches in the teaching process. Indeed, for a long time in the system of specialists-managers training the standardized, fixed methodologies and techniques have been used and their aim was to form a manager as a sole leader, who makes all important decisions himself. Moreover, management itself was considered only as a part of management theory. However, the use of ready-made methods and approaches to solving tasks has a number of threats and negative
consequences for future managers. In particular, future managers become dependent on the clear set of well-known methods and they can not distinguish the decisions that are taken automatically from those that require innovative approaches. It is also necessary to review radically the scientific and practical level of the lecture material and actualize it according to the new realities as much as possible by introducing the authors’ courses, approaches and innovative methods corresponding to the modern social practice. For example, these are open lectures in the online mode of well-known modern theorists and practitioners of management, various trainings, master classes, etc.

One of the most authoritative modern theorists in the field of management, Western scientist I. Adizes, outlines a number of methodological principles that are extremely important for the management of any organization. In our opinion, the most important among them are three methodologically weighty points: 1) interaction between different people; 2) ideal people as well as ideal managers do not exist and can not exist; 3) everyone has to realize that changes are the basis and motive of any activity [1].

Expressing the fundamentals of the new education paradigm, the author focuses on the existing shortcomings. Namely, managers are not taught to make ream decisions. They are not taught how to resolve conflicts between people with different interests. A manager has to be ready for any changes. Herewith, he has to create new approaches and methods of making decisions to resolve conflicts, so practice is also a very important factor. Consequently, management is not only the theory. Practice and social reality turn out to be as important components as the theory.

According to the well-known modern Japanese businessman K. Matsushita, in the managerial activity, the determining factors are spiritual values, persistence, and purposefulness. The systemic nature of these factors directs the individual’s efforts to implement the set goals as effectively as possible. But the author emphasizes that we should not be afraid of making mistakes as they allow us to obtain our own experience and knowledge and that helps to find the best ways to achieve goals [5].

The American scientist in the management field P. Drucker justifies several methodological approaches to the organization of management. They are the following: 1) management should be organized in such a way that the manager directs people rather than manages them; 2) managerial activity can not be standardized, therefore in different situations managers should act in different ways; 3) managers must take into account the internal aspects of the organization’s development as well as influence sufficiently the external circumstances, with the appropriate level of responsibility for their own actions [3; 4].

Lee Iacocca, the American manager with many years of management experience in such giant corporations as Chrysler and Ford,
also emphasizes the need for teamwork. In his opinion, the effectiveness of the team depends on two factors. The first one is cohesion. The second one is the common goal. Their combination enables the art of management. If the manager timely and skillfully distributes various tasks among employees, then he makes substitutions, thus he reveals every employee’s talents and abilities, the team becomes a monolithic structure, whose activity becomes efficient and productive [8]. And another important point “Organizational culture has a decisive influence on employee behavior and achievement of results” [9, p.171].

Taking these methodological approaches into consideration, among the Ukrainian educational establishments where managers training is carried out on a high level there is the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, National Pedagogical Dragomanov University, Interregional Academy of Personnel Management and others. New approaches to the specialists-managers training are being introduced into the teaching process.

Within the educational institutions mentioned above there is a series of disciplines, which are directly related to the formation of managerial competencies. Among them there are history and theory of public management and administration, national system of public management and regulation, fundamentals of corruption prevention in public administration, fundamentals of public regulation and regulation in the economy, organizational and legal principles of state administration, psychology of management, administrative and legal principles of public management and administration, civil-law relations in the field of public management and administration, managerial counseling, information systems in public administration, state management and administration, management of the region’s social and economic security, public management in the economical sphere, PR-technologies in state management, political problems of social management, managerial counseling, philosophy of management, philosophical problems of state management, social and political management, fundamentals of city management, psychology of personnel management, personnel management in political structures, public administration and public service, etc.

For instance, in 2011 the Philosophy Department of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv started training of highly-qualified specialists in state management for Master degree in speciality “State Service” with daily and external educational forms as well as in the second higher education program.

From 2011 to 2017, more than 150 people were trained in various educational programs under the state order. More than 500 students of daily and external educational forms were trained at the expense of individuals.
According to the educational curricular of the State Service and Public Management and Administration of the Master degree program in speciality 281 “Public Management and Administration” (the Philosophy Department of Kyiv National University) the credits were divided into the compulsory and optional components, and in the sphere of compulsory subjects about a third part of the total amount of credits was allocated to the disciplines aimed to form managerial competencies. And in the field of optional disciplines, about 70% of the total number of hours is provided for profile subjects. (see pic. 3;4)
In addition, the Disciplinary Model of the educational plan for training civil servants in the postgraduate study program was developed and introduced in the KNU. According to this model, all the disciplines were divided into four following groups: 1) compulsory academic disciplines; 2) disciplines (optional) at the choice of faculty / institute; 3) discipline (optional) at a free choice of a postgraduate (the general university list); 4) discipline (optional) at a free choice of a
Economic and socio-political processes in Ukraine have caused the need for highly skilled management specialists. That is why “the vast majority of university students are young people for whom entering an educational institution means starting their own independent life. They learn to take responsibility and make independent decisions”[14, p.168]. Therefore, the organization of the educational process must include two main points. The first one is the conscious and purposeful, personally-oriented choice and activity of the applicant himself. The second one is availability of requests and needs on the part of specific social conditions (for example, management crisis due to radical change in production relations, new goals and nature of business relations). “Ensuring staff satisfaction in the organization is one of the most important tasks of organizational management” [10, p.174]. Respectively, taking these circumstances into account requires creating innovative forms and methods of training. In particular, as scientists notice, one of such factors of the national education modernization, according to globalization challenges, is the establishment of educational diplomacy, which, being based on the principles of consensus practices, can solve the global and local (national) contradictions in the development of higher education as well as the system of education management by making appropriate modernizing strategies effective [12, p. 144]. Hence, there is a vital need in reorientation of higher education connected with the training of highly skilled managers.

**Conclusions**

Taking into account everything mentioned above, it must be noted that the philosophy of management today is an important theoretical and methodological basis for the modern managers’ training. In terms of philosophical reflection, management of any systems becomes effective through the interaction of three levels, namely: worldview level, scientific-methodological level and praxeological one. The worldview level defines the role of mentality, values and traditions in the process of management activity. At the scientific and methodological level, methods and regularities of management are determined and substantiated. At the praxeological level interconnection between the theory and practice is pointed out, emphasizing the fact that social reality makes inquiries for the latest approaches and methods of managerial activity. Thereby, the main task of modern management education is the need to prepare managers as team members who will complement each other, thanks to the appropriate communication and qualification level, and will use non-standard (creative) approaches in tasks solving as well as will adequately respond to current social challenges. In this regard, the philosophy of management should be the methodological basis in the new paradigm in training managers of any level.
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ФІЛОСОФІЯ УПРАВЛІННЯ: ОСВІТНІ СМISLI I SOCIАLНI ВИКLIКИ

Анотація. Мета. Розкриття теоретичного і духовно-практичного потенціалу філософії управління в процесі підготовки спеціалістів у сфері публічного управління та адміністрування. Методика. У процесі дослідження використовувалися такі методи, як: аналіз, синтез, системний, компаративний, структурно-функціональний, діалектичний. Заставивання синергетичного підходу в дослідженні управління уможливило розгляд останнього як складної та відкритої системи. Результати. Авторами доведено, що філософія управління нині являє собою теоретико-методологічну основу для підготовки сучасних управлінців. Також акцентовано увагу на тому, що філософія управління є відмінною від теорії менеджменту, оскільки традиційний менеджмент намагається реалізувати програму підготовки менеджера-одинака, який самочинно повинен керувати великими колективами, організаціями. Автори переконані, що на сьогодні це твердження є утопічним. Саме тому філософія управління має постати методологічним базисом у новій парадигмі підготовки управлінців будь-якого рівня. Наукова новизна. Уперше в науковому дискурсі зроблена спроба утвердити статус філософії управління як однієї із базових і обов'язкових дисциплін у підготовці спеціалістів у сфері публічного, державного управління та адміністрування. Доведено, що управління будь-якими системами стає ефективним завдяки взаємодії трьох рівнів, а саме: світоглядного, науково-методологічного та праксеологічного. В якості головного завдання сучасної управлінської освіти, визначено необхідність готувати управлінців як членів команди, які гідно відповідатимуть на сучасні соціальні виклики. Практична значимість. Результати дослідження мають постати орієнтирами для вдосконалення і створення нової системи підготовки сучасних управлінців, де менеджер розглядається не як керівник-одинак (індивідуал), а як член команди, в якій кожен є капіталом.

Ключові слова: філософія управління, управлінська діяльність, менеджмент, освіта, парадигма.
ФИЛОСОФІЯ УПРАВЛІННЯ: ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНІ СМYSЛЫ І СОЦІАЛЬНІ ВИЗОВИ

Аннотація. Ціль. Раскриттє теоретичного і духовно-практичного потенціала філософії управління в процесі підготовки спеціалістів в сфері публічного управління і адміністрування. Методика. В процесі розглядування використовувалися такі методи, як: аналіз, синтез, системний, компаративний, структурно-функціональний, діалектичний. Применення синергетичного підходу в процесі підготовки спеціалістів, який самовольно може забезпечити відповідність рішень системному осередку. Результати. Авторами доказано, що філософія управління в настояще відрізняється від теорії менеджменту, оскільки традиційний менеджмент прагне реалізувати програму підготовки менеджера-одиночки, який самовольно має адаптувати великі колективи, організації. Автори уперше обґрунтовали, що сьогоден справжній менеджмент має стати метідоологічним базисом в новій парадигмі підготовки управленців. Наукова новизна. Вперше в науковому дискурсі автори намагаються утвердити статус філософії управління як одного з базових і обов'язкових дисциплін в підготуванні спеціалістів в сфері публічного, державного управління і адміністрування. Доказано, що управління за допомогою системи стає ефективним за рахунок взаємодії трех рівнів, а именно: мировоголівних, науково-методологічних і наукових підходів. В рамках головної мети современного управлення, визначено потрібність у відповідності з пропозицією, які є достатньо амбіційними для задоволення соціальних вимог. Практична значимість. Результати розглядування мають біти орієнтованими для зміни системи підготовки і створення нової системи підготовки спеціалістів, де менеджер ураховується як член команди, а не як член команди, в якій кожний індивідуальний робочий вимагає відповідно до соціальних вимог. Ключові слова: філософія управління, управленческая деятельность, менеджмент, образование, парадигма.